

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,730	12/20/2004	Markus Gautschi	102790-185	3192
27389 7590 10/02/2008 NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS 875 THIRD AVE ISTH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAMINER	
			LEVY, NEIL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/518,730 GAUTSCHI, MARKUS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NEIL LEVY 1615 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/04,1/10/5;2/17/05.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/518,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The JP reference (AC, AI) was incomplete, the last 3 pages are missing.

Applicant's election with traverse of * phenyl-carbamic acid-menthyl ester, cockroach,

DEET and no specific insecticide, as none are disclosed---

in the reply filed on *7/18/08. is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicant argues the specific are not sufficiently burdensome to search; however, no statement of their being obvious variants of each other is presented, except for the added insecticide as being unspecified. This is not found persuasive because The species election was made as we see differences among the various species in potential effects, as applicant does not declare they are equivalent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim3 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as

being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on

7/18/08

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1,2,4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "use" is non-statutoryit is not clear if a composition or compound or method is intended, and thus if claims 4-7 are compositions of claim 1 or methods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/518,730

Art Unit: 1615

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for cockroach, does not reasonably provide enablement for , any species of insect. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Many insects are repelled by a compound that others are attracted or killed by, as there are over 740,000 insect species. Excessive amount of experimentation would be required to determine which, if any, would be repelled by any of the thousands of possible variants of the claimed 8 compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/518,730

Art Unit: 1615

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over anticipated by DE 1792331

See abstract-the instant compound is synergistic with an insecticide, otherwise not shown as lethal, but is applied to a substrate- ilter paper (Experiment I). Since the compound claimed is applied as claimed, it would inherently repel any insects as would the instant invention.

Claim8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAMMOCK et al 6150415 in view of GAUTSCHI WO2/15692.

HAMMOCK shows the instant compounds (column 16-225) as enzyme inhibitors of increased potency (column 17, lines 41, 41) as useful synergist with insecticides (column 19, top) would permit insect control. GAUTSCHI shows structurally similar compound to be insect repellent (page 4, (1a)) and page 6 top and page 7, lines 8-9 applied to substrate (page 8, lines 20-27) and formulated with other repellents (page 8, DEET) or insecticides.

It would be obvious to the artisan to utilize an analog of GAUTSCHI known repellents, in order to provide synergistic effects and extend the range of species affected as shown with the instant compound at HAMMOCK.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize pest control means, to use any of art recognized means, as of HAMMMOCK modified as desired to increase stability, compatability of ingredients, increased toxicity. Minor testing with the HAMMOCK compound of increased potency would be expected to be successful when incorporating the known analogous repellent of GAUTSCHI, as shown within the scope of obviousness in consideration of the 2007 supreme court decision in KSR V TELEFLEX @ 82 USPQ 2d @ 1385.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,730

Art Unit: 1615

All the critical elements of the instant are disclosed. The amounts and proportions of each ingredient are result effective parameters chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the form of each ingredient to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular species and application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects.

Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of criticality, nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

The instant invention provides well known old art recognized compounds, with well known art recognized effects, applied by well known art recognized methods to achieve improved control as is well known in the art.

.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,730 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615
